Case 1:07-cv-10882-AKH Document	1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 1 of 12
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
CLARENCE LEE	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	tein, United States District Judge, dated June 22 all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE C	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, because of the constant of	with an '♥" if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s)
Plaintiffs, CLARENCE LEE, by his/her/their a NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectively.	•
I. PAR	TIES
A. PLAIN	TIFF(S)
citizen of New Jersey residing at 8 Washington Ave., A	2)
2 Δlternatively Π	he of Decedent

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

_____, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of ______

Ca	ISE 1.07-CV-10002-AKH DOCUMEN	ILI Filed 11/06/2007 Page 2 01 12
3. citizen of Injured Plain	residing at tiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times, and bri injuries sustained by her husb	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:
4. Environment	In the period from 9/13/2001 to 9/28/2 tal as a dech hand Eng/ decontaminator at	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Miller
	•	illing in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (From on or a	d Trade Center Site <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)bout <u>9/23/2001</u> until <u>9/28/2001</u> ;	The Barge From on or about 9/13/2001 until 9/16/2001; Approximately 24 hours per day; for Approximately 3 days total.
Approximate ====== The New From on or a Approximate	ely 10 hours per day; for ely 5 days total. York City Medical Examiner's Office bout, hours per day; for ely hours per day; for ely days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
✓ The Fresh From on or a Approximate	kills Landfill bout 9/13/2001 until 9/16/2001; ely 12 hours per day; for ely 4 days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
*Continue t		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	 ✓ Was exposed to and breathed above; ✓ Was exposed to and inhaled o dates at the site(s) indicated above; 	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANT INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORT
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	✓EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑PHILLIPS AND JORDAN, INC.

☑ PINNACLE ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

✓ PLAZA CONSTRUCTION CORP.

✓ PRO SAFETY SERVICES, LLC

☑ PT & L CONTRACTING CORP

☐ REGIONAL SCAFFOLD & HOISTING CO,

✓ ROBER SILMAN ASSOCIATES

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ WORLD TRADE CENTER PROPERTIES.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:					

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10882-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 12 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is.							
✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.							
	III CAUSES OF ACTION						
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:							
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation				
	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 				
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined				
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death				
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff				
		V	Pursuan to 46 U.S.C. § 688 <i>et seq.</i> , and 28 U.S.C. § 1333.				

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

SEE RIDER

Case 1:07-cv-10882-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 12 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	\	Cardiovascular Injury: <u>Chest Pain</u> Date of onset: <u>12/26/2006</u> Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u>
Respiratory Injury: Chronic Cough; Cough; Dyspnea; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; Sinus and/or Nasal Problems; Sinus Problems Date of onset: 12/26/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 12/26/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	\	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Skin Rash; Skin Rashes, Itches, Fungus, Lesions; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 12/26/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

✓	Pain and suffering	✓	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life	V	Other:
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability
abla	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		✓ Medical monitoring✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 7, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Clarence Lee

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

<u>RIDER</u>

Jurisdiction is predicated upon 28 U.S.C. §1331, pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 688, *et seq*, commonly, and hereafter referred to as the *Jones Act*, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1333, General Maritime and Admiralty Jurisdictions of the United States.

Weeks Marine barges are vessels of United States registry and either are or will be within the jurisdiction of this Court during the pendency of this action.

At all material times Weeks Marine was the owner and/or the owner *pro hoc vice*, and/or the bareboat charter of the barges.

At all material times Weeks Marine managed and/or controlled the barge(s).

Plaintiff's injuries occurred due to the negligence and fault of the defendants, their employees, and/or their agents and servants, in that they failed to have adequate and safe procedures for the seamen on the vessel to safely take on, haul, and remove debris, and dredge there was inadequate supervision, training, safety and health equipment and planning of the job.

The conditions, acts and omissions stated above rendered the barges unseaworthy as did the fact that the vessel was not properly designed to take on, haul, transport, and remove hazardous substances.

As a result of defendants' negligence and the unseaworthiness of the barge, plaintiff incurred loss of wages and found, medical expenses, pain and suffering, and will incur future loss of wages and found, medical expenses, pain and suffering.

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice

in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 7, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CLARENCE LEE. Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at at 20 Μ. Dated, Yours, etc.,

1205 14619

WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP